



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/766,407	01/22/2001	Ronald Martin Tanner	56932.000007	7309
29315	7590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC			BASOM, BLAINE T	
12010 SUNSE SUITE 900	T HILLS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA 20190		2173		

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A		
Advisory Action	09/766,407	TANNER ET AL.	U		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Blaine Basom	2173			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	ued		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	•		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The darkness been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extend 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee the final Office action; or (2) as set	e under forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		•		
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifyi	ng the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		separate, timely filed amend	dment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NOT place	e the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were newl	у		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a) will not be entered or by would be rejected is provided be	o) will be entered and an low or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:		1			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,				
Outer.					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner maintains that Traversat et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,052,720, hereafter referred to as "Traversat") teaches creating and customizing a device image. The Applicants have submitted that Traversat fails to teach customizing application configurations, which as described in the previous Office Action, are considered to compose a device image. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As described in the previous Office Action, Traversat discloses a tree-like data structure, referred to as a "machine namespace," wherein under each manufacturer entry in the machine namespace exists a number of entries, particularly within a "platform" category, each entry representing a particular computer model made by the manufacturer and existing on the nework. Each of these entries is considered a "device image," like recited in the claimed invention, as there exist a plurality of leaf nodes associated with each entry, whereby each leaf node specifies the application configurations of the applications stored on the associated computer model. Contrary to the Applicants' assertions, this image may be customized. For example, as described in the previous Office Action, the configuration information for a computer model entry may be augmented or overridden by configuration information maintained by a "profile category," a "users category," and a "group category," as described in the previous Office Action. Such a technique allows a customized device image to be sent to the user's computer (for exampe, see column 9, lines 42-67).

CAO (KEVIN) NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER